

A SHORT PROOF OF KONTSEVICH CLUSTER CONJECTURE

ARKADY BERENSTEIN AND VLADIMIR RETAKH

The aim of this note is to give an elementary proof of the following Kontsevich conjecture.

Recall that the *Kontsevich map* K_r , $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the following (birational) automorphism of a noncommutative plane:

$$K_r : (x, y) \mapsto (xyx^{-1}, (1 + y^r)x^{-1}) ,$$

Conjecture 1. (*M. Kontsevich*) For any $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ all iterations $\underbrace{\cdots K_{r_1} K_{r_2} K_{r_1}}_k(x, y)$, $k \geq 1$ are given by noncommutative Laurent polynomials in x and y .

The Kontsevich conjecture was first proved for $r_1 = r_2 = 2$ by A. Usnich in [5] and was later settled by A. Usnich in [6] in greater generality when $r_1 = r_2 = r$ (with $1 + y^r$ replaced by any monic palindromic polynomial $H(y)$) by means of derived categories. Independently, Conjecture 1 was verified for $(r_1, r_2) \in \{(2, 2), (4, 1), (1, 4)\}$ in [3] along with the positivity conjecture: for $(r_1, r_2) \in \{(2, 2), (4, 1), (1, 4)\}$ all noncommutative Laurent polynomials in question have nonnegative integer coefficients.

Our goal is to give a short proof of Conjecture 1.

Theorem 2. For any $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ all iterations $\underbrace{\cdots K_{r_1} K_{r_2} K_{r_1}}_k(x, y)$, $k \geq 1$ are given by noncommutative Laurent polynomials in x and y .

To present our proof of Theorem 2, we need some notation. Denote

$$(x_k, y_k) := \underbrace{\cdots K_{r_1} K_{r_2} K_{r_1}}_k(x, y)$$

and denote $z := [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. Then it is easy to see by induction that $[x_k, y_k] = [x, y] = z$ for all k . This taken together with the recursion $x_{k+1} = x_k y_k x_k^{-1}$ and $y_{k+1} = (1 + y_k^{r_k})x_k^{-1}$, where

$$(1) \quad r_k = \begin{cases} r_1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ r_2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

gives the following three recursions (they first appeared in [3, Section 2.2])

$$x_{k+1} = z y_k, \quad y_{k+1} z y_{k-1} = 1 + y_k^{r_k}, \quad y_{k+1} z y_k = y_k y_{k+1} .$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathbb{Q}\langle y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1} \rangle$ be the group algebra of the free group in 2 generators. It was proved by A.I. Malcev (see e.g., [4, Section 8.7]) that \mathcal{F}_2 is a *divisible algebra*, i.e., it embeds in a division ring (we denote the smallest one by $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{F}_2)$).

Define elements $y_k \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ recursively by:

$$(2) \quad y_{k+1} z y_{k-1} = 1 + y_k^{r_k} ,$$

where $z := [y_2^{-1}, y_1] = y_2^{-1} y_1 y_2 y_1^{-1}$.

Note that $y_0, y_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(r_1, r_2)$ be the subalgebra of \mathcal{F} generated by $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, z, z^{-1}$. We will refer to \mathcal{A} as a (*purely*) *noncommutative cluster algebra* of type (r_1, r_2) .

Lemma 3. The elements $y_k \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ satisfy for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(3) \quad y_{k+1} z y_k = y_k y_{k+1}$$

Date: October 31, 2010.

The authors were supported in part by the NSF grant DMS #0800247.

Proof. Indeed, the (3) is obvious for $k = 1$. Let us prove it for $k \geq 1$ by induction. We will use the inductive hypothesis in the form $y_k y_{k-1}^{-1} z^{-1} = y_{k-1}^{-1} y_k$. Indeed, since $y_{k+1} z = (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k+1} z y_k - y_k y_{k+1} &= (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1} y_k - y_k (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1} z^{-1} \\ &= (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1} y_k - (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_k y_{k-1}^{-1} z^{-1} = (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1} y_k - (1 + y_k)^{r_k} y_{k-1}^{-1} y_k = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive hypothesis. The relation (3) for $k \leq 0$ also follows. \square

Thus, based on the above discussion, Theorem 2 directly follows from our main result.

Main Theorem 4. *Each y_k belongs to \mathcal{A} , e.g., y_k is a noncommutative Laurent polynomial in y_1, y_2 .*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{A}_k(r_1, r_2)$ the subalgebra of \mathcal{F}_2 generated by $y_k, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, y_{k+3}, z^{\pm 1}$. It suffices to prove the following result (which is a noncommutative version of [1, Formula (4.12)] and [2, Lemma 5.8]).

Theorem 5. $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{A}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$(4) \quad y_{k+4} \in \mathcal{A}_k, \quad y_k \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$$

Proposition 6. *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has: $y_{k+4} z = z y_k (y_{k+3} z)^{r_{k+1}} - \sum_{j=0}^{r_{k+1}-1} (z y_{k+1})^j z (y_{k+2} z)^{r_k-1} (y_{k+3} z)^j$.*

Proof. For simplicity (and without loss of generality) we assume that $k = 0$. We start with the following technical result.

Lemma 7. *For each $m \geq 0$ we have: $y_1^m (y_3 z)^m = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} y_1^k (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^k$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on m . For $m = 0$ the assertion is clear. Assume that $m > 0$ and it holds for $m - 1$. Let us prove it for m . Note that the (2) and (3) imply that

$$(5) \quad y_{k-1} y_{k+1} z = 1 + (y_k z)^{r_k}$$

Indeed, using (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^m (y_3 z)^m &= y_1^{m-1} (y_1 y_3 z) (y_3 z)^{m-1} = y_1^{m-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2}) (y_3 z)^{m-1} = y_1^{m-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^{m-1} + y_1^{m-1} (y_3 z)^{m-1} \\ &= y_1^{m-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^{m-1} + 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} y_1^k (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^k = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} y_1^k (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^k. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Furthermore, compute:

$$\begin{aligned} y_4 z &= y_2^{-1} ((y_3 z)^{r_1} + 1) = y_2^{-1} (y_3 z)^{r_1} + y_2^{-1} = (z y_0 - y_2^{-1} (y_1)^{r_1}) (y_3 z)^{r_1} + y_2^{-1} \\ &= z y_0 (y_3 z)^{r_1} - y_2^{-1} (y_1^{r_1-1} (y_1 y_3 z) (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} - 1) = z y_0 (y_3 z)^{r_1} - y_2^{-1} (y_1^{r_1-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2}) (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$y_1^{r_1-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2}) (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} - 1 = y_1^{r_1-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} + y_1^{r_1-1} (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} - 1.$$

Using Lemma 7 and taking into account that $y_1^m y_2 = y_2 (z y_1)^{m-1}$ for $m > 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^{r_1-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2}) (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} - 1 &= y_1^{r_1-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^{r_1-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{r_1-2} y_1^k (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} y_1^k (y_2 z)^{r_2} (y_3 z)^k \\ &= y_2 \sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} (z y_1)^k z (y_2 z)^{r_2-1} (y_3 z)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y_4 z = z y_0 (y_3 z)^{r_1} - \sum_{k=0}^{r_1-1} (z y_1)^k z (y_2 z)^{r_2-1} (y_3 z)^k$. This proves Proposition 6. \square

Proposition 6 gives us the first inclusion (4). Prove second inclusion (4) now. We need the following obvious fact. Let σ be the anti-automorphism of \mathcal{F}_2 given by: $\sigma(y_1) = y_2$, $\sigma(y_2) = y_1$ (so that $\sigma(z) = z$).

Lemma 8. $\sigma(y_k) = y_{3-k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in particular, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_k(r_1, r_2)) = \mathcal{A}_{-k}(r_2, r_1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This immediately implies the second inclusion (4): $y_{1-k} \in \mathcal{A}_{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Theorem 5 is proved. \square

Therefore, Theorem 4 is proved. \square

And, ultimately, Theorem 2 is proved.

Example 9. Let $r_1 = r_2 = 2$. We have: $y_{k+1}zy_{k-1} = y_k^2 + 1$, $y_{k-1}y_{k+1}z = y_kzy_kz + 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} y_4z &= y_2^{-1}(y_3zy_3z + 1) = (zy_0 - y_2^{-1}y_1^2)y_3(zy_3z) + y_2^{-1} \\ &= zy_0y_3zy_3z - y_2^{-1}(y_1(y_1y_3z)y_3z - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $y_1(y_1y_3z)y_3z - 1 = y_1(y_2zy_2z + 1)y_3z - 1 = y_1y_2zy_2zy_3z + y_1y_3z - 1 = y_2zy_1zy_2zy_3z + (y_2z)^2$. Therefore,

$$y_4z = zy_0(y_3z)^2 - (zy_1zy_2zy_3z + zy_2z).$$

The noncommutative cluster algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(r_1, r_2)$ has a number symmetries in addition to the anti-involution $\sigma : \mathcal{A}(r_1, r_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(r_2, r_1)$ from Lemma 8: the translation $y_k \mapsto y_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ defines an isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{A}(r_1, r_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}(r_2, r_1)$, which is an automorphism when $r_1 = r_2$.

We conclude with a brief discussion of the presentation of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 10. *The generators $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, z^{\pm 1}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfy (for $i = 0, 1, 2, j = 1, 2$):*

$$y_i y_{i+1} = y_{i+1} z y_i, y_{j+1} z y_{j-1} = y_j^{r_j} + 1, y_{j-1} y_{j+1} z = (y_j z)^{r_j} + 1, y_3 z y_0 - z y_0 y_3 z = y_2^{r_2-1} y_1^{r_1-1} - z(y_1 z)^{r_1-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2-1}$$

Proof. Only the last relation needs to be proved (the first three relations are (3), (2), and (5) respectively). Indeed, using the available relations in \mathcal{F}_2 , we obtain:

$$y_0 y_3 z = ((1 + (y_1 z)^{r_1}) z^{-1} y_2^{-1}) (y_1^{-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2})) = (1 + (y_1 z)^{r_1}) z^{-1} y_1^{-1} z^{-1} y_2^{-1} (1 + (y_2 z)^{r_2}) = h_{r_1}(y_1 z) h_{r_2}(y_2 z),$$

where $h_r(y) = y^{-1} + y^{r-1}$. Similarly,

$$y_3 z y_0 = ((1 + y_2^{r_2}) y_1^{-1}) (z^{-1} y_2^{-1} (1 + y_1^{r_1})) = (1 + y_2^{r_2}) y_2^{-1} y_1^{-1} (1 + y_1^{r_1}) = h_{r_2}(y_2) h_{r_1}(y_1)$$

Taking into account that $y_1 y_2 y_1^{-1} = y_2 z$ and $y_2^{-1} y_1 y_2 = z y_1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y_3 z y_0 &= y_2^{r_2-1} y_1^{r_1-1} + h_{r_2}(y_2) y_1^{-1} + y_2^{-1} y_1^{r_1-1} + y_2^{-1} y_1^{-1} = y_2^{r_2-1} y_1^{r_1-1} + (z y_1)^{r_1-1} y_2^{-1} + y_1^{-1} h_{r_2}(y_2 z) + y_1^{-1} z^{-1} y_2^{-1} \\ &= y_2^{r_2-1} y_1^{r_1-1} + z(y_1 z)^{r_1-1} (y_2 z)^{-1} + z(y_1 z)^{-1} h_{r_2}(y_2 z) + z(y_1 z)^{-1} (y_2 z)^{-1} = y_2^{r_2-1} y_1^{r_1-1} + z(y_1 z)^{r_1-1} (y_2 z)^{r_2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. \square

We expect that the relations in Proposition 10 are defining.

Acknowledgments. This work started when the authors were visiting IHES in July 2010. We thank Maxim Kontsevich for his kind hospitality and stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras III: Upper and lower bounds, *Duke Math. Journal*, vol. 126, **1** (2005), pp. 1–52.
- [2] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, Quantum cluster algebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 195, **2** (2005), pp. 405–455.
- [3] P. Di Francesco, R. Kedem, Discrete non-commutative integrability: Proof of a conjecture by M. Kontsevich, *Intern. Math. Res. Notes*, (2010) doi:10.1093/imrn/rnq024.
- [4] P.M. Cohn, Free rings and their relations, second edition, *Academic Press*, London, 1985.
- [5] A. Usnich, Non-commutative cluster mutations, *Doklady of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus*, **53**(4), 2009, pp. 27–29.
- [6] A. Usnich, Non-commutative Laurent phenomenon for two variables, *preprint* arXiv:1006.1211, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA
E-mail address: arkadiy@math.uoregon.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: vretakh@math.rutgers.edu